Rare Earth Elements determined in Antarctic ice by Inductively Coupled Plasma – Time of Flight, Quadrupole and Sector Field-Mass Spectrometry: an inter-comparison study

D. Dick, A. Wegner, P. Gabrielli^{+,t}, U. Ruth, C. Barbante^{+,Δ}, M. Kriews^{*} Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Am Handelshafen 12, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany

⁺Institute for the Dynamics of Environmental Processes – CNR, 30123 Venice, Italy ^ΔDepartment of Environmental Sciences, University of Venice, Ca' Foscari, 30123 Venice,

Italy

^tSchool of Earth Science and Byrd Polar Research Center, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210-1002, USA

Abstract

Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) is a suitable tool for multi-element analysis at low concentration levels. Rare earth element (REE) determinations in standard reference materials and small volumes of molten ice core samples from Antarctica have been performed with an ICP-Time of Flight-MS (ICP-TOF-MS) system. Recovery rates for REE in e.g. SPS-SW1 amounted to ~103%, and the relative standard deviations were 3.4% for replicate analysis at REE concentrations in the lower ng L^{-1} range. Analyses of REE concentrations in Antarctic ice core samples showed that the ICP-TOF-MS technique meets the demands of restricted sample mass. The data obtained are in good agreement with ICP-Quadrupole-MS (ICP-Q-MS) and ICP-Sector Field-MS (ICP-SF-MS) results. The ICP-TOF-MS system determines accurately and precisely REE concentrations exceeding 5 ng L^{-1} while between 0.5 and 5 ng L^{-1} accuracy and precision are element dependent.

Keywords: ultra trace element analysis, ICP-TOF-MS, rare earth elements, Antarctic ice

Introduction

Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) is a powerful technique for analysis of the elemental composition and isotope ratios for diverse kinds of samples (environmental, geological and biological samples). Various techniques for mass selection are used in ICP-MS. Sector Field mass spectrometers (SF-MS) [1] and Quadrupole mass spectrometers (Q-MS) [2] have been the most common MS types used for the analysis of natural samples so far. Both instruments scan one element after another, which is called sequential analysis. Often the number of isotopes analysed is limited owing to small sample volumes and thus short analysis times. In contrast to the sequential MS systems, the Time of Flight-MS (TOF-MS)

^{*} Corresponding author tel.: +49-(0)471-4831-1420; fax: +49-(0)471-4831-1149; email: Michael.Kriews@awi.de

analyses in a quasi simultaneous mode [3] as all isotopes are simultaneously extracted from the ion source. This increases the precision and the accuracy of the analysis, which is a particular benefit for isotope ratio measurements [4-6]. Major advantages of such a system are: No limitations on the number of isotopes analysed and fast data acquisition. This latter is important when using sample introduction systems like laser ablation [7] or an inductively coupled heated vaporizer [8] and allows obtaining numerous replicate analyses. In contrast to MS systems analyzing in the sequential mode, for ICP-TOF-MS systems the detection capability is independent of the number of isotopes determined [6]. A brief overview of the application of TOF-MS is provided elsewhere [9]. Until now, only few studies on the use of ICP-TOF-MS for element analysis have been published [7-8, 10-14]. In particular the determination of extreme ultra traces of rare earth elements (REE) has so far been described only rarely [15-16] and only for samples after concentration by a factor of 15 to 22 and by a factor of 500, respectively.

Studies of trace elements in natural samples (*e.g.* ice cores from polar regions) as indicator of environmental pollution and for paleoclimate research have been performed [17-20]. Owing to large distances between Antarctica and its surrounding continents (South America – Antarctica (~1100 km), South Africa – Antarctica (~4000 km) and New Zealand / Australia - Antarctica (~2500 km, ~3000 km)) and because of the shielding circum-Antarctic circulation pattern, Antarctica is the remotest area in the world. This results in very low trace element concentrations. Thus demands are high on sampling of snow and ice cores, on sample preparation and finally on the analysis of low concentrations in limited sample volumes [1-2, 21].

The aim of this work is to assess the accuracy and precision of an ICP-TOF-MS system [7, 12] in determining REE at ultra low concentration levels. The ICP-TOF-MS system was developed at the Institute for Analytical Science in Berlin, supported as a research prototype jointly with Analytik Jena. Reference materials SPS-SW1 and SLRS-4 were analysed. Instrumental detection limits (IDL), the recovery rate and precision, the sample consumption and analysing time are presented. For inter-comparison studies of different MS systems and laboratories, ice core samples drilled within the European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica (EPICA) [22, 23] were analysed by ICP-TOF-MS, ICP-Q-MS and ICP-SF-MS.

Experimental

Standards and Labware

At the Alfred Wegener Institute (AWI) in Bremerhaven, Germany, ultrapure water was produced by coupling a reverse osmosis system with a Purelab Ultra system (Elga, High Wycombe, U.K.). Commercially available ICP-MS multi-element stock solutions (10 mg L⁻¹; Perkin Elmer) were used for external calibration of the ICP-TOF-MS and ICP-Q-MS systems. At the Institute for the Dynamics of Environmental Processes (IDPA) in Venice, Italy, where inter-comparison studies with the ICP-SF-MS were conducted, the ultrapure water was produced by coupling a Milli-Q (Millipore, Bedford, MA) water system with a Purelab Ultra

system (Elga, High Wycombe, U.K.). Matrix matched calibration was performed at IDPA by spiking different amounts of multi-element standard to a melted surface snow sample. At AWI, all standards were acidified to pH 1 with sub-boiled HNO₃ (distilled 65% HNO₃, pro analysis, Merck) and they were spiked with 1 μ g L⁻¹ Rh (RhCl₃, Merck) as internal standard. Eppendorf pipettes with polypropylene (PP) tips were used for sample and standard preparation. Steps for standard preparation were carried out under a clean bench US Class 100 installed in a clean room US class 10000. At AWI, all labware to which samples and standards were exposed were run through a special cleaning procedure (see supplementary material). The cleaning procedure performed at IDPA is described elsewhere [1].

Sample collection and preparation

Reference material

Two reference materials were used to validate the quality of the ICP-TOF-MS as well as the ICP-Q-MS measurements: 1) SPS-SW1: Spectrapure Standards AS, Reference Material for measurements of Elements in Surface Waters, 2) SLRS-4: National Research Council Canada, River Water Reference Materials for Trace Metals.

For applicability to the low REE concentrations expected in Antarctic ice the SPS-SW1 standard was diluted by 1:100. REE from La to Nd in SLRS-4 were determined using a 1:10 dilution, while all other REE were determined without any dilution.

Antarctic Ice Core samples

Within the EPICA two deep ice cores were drilled. One at Kohnen station in Dronning Maud Land (DML) and one at Dome C station (DC). Nine samples from the EPICA-DML (EDML) ice core and eleven samples from EPICA-DC (EDC) were chosen for REE analysis. Sample ages from 14.2 kyr before present (b.p., where present is defined as 1950) to 48.7 kyr b.p. [24-25], i.e. all samples originate from the ultimate glacial period. For each sample a section from the inner part of the ice core was obtained for REE determinations to avoid contamination by the drilling fluid (see supplementary material).

At AWI, samples were melted and transferred into pre-cleaned polyfluor alkoxy (PFA) vessels under a clean bench US Class 100 installed in a clean room laboratory US Class 10000. The polystyrene (PS)-vials used for sample storage were rinsed with 10 mL sub-boiled HNO₃ (1 Mol L⁻¹) which was added into the PFA vessels. Samples were concentrated to 0.5 to 2 mL with a pressure digestion system (Druckaufschlusssystem DAS, Picotrace GmbH, Germany; Figure S2, left side) and digested using 2 mL sub-boiled HNO₃ (distilled 65%, p.a., Merck), 1 mL sub-boiled HF (40%, suprapure, Merck) and 2 mL H₂O₂ (30%, suprapure, Merck) with the same DAS system by changing the top part (Figure S2, right side). Subsequently, samples were concentrated to a maximum volume of 1.75 mL. Detailed information about the concentration and digestion of samples is given as supplementary material (Figure S2, Table S1). Finally, samples were transferred into polypropylene (PP) vials, Rh was added (1 μ g L⁻¹) and the vials were filled up to a volume of 2 mL with sub-boiled HNO₃ (1 Mol L⁻¹). On average, samples were concentrated by a factor of 4. Using

these 2 mL samples, REE determinations by ICP-TOF-MS, ICP-Q-MS and ICP-SF-MS were accomplished. Ten blanks (2 mL sub-boiled HNO₃, 1 mL HF and 2 mL H_2O_2) were processed in the same way to test the digestion quality.

Instrumentation

The analytical measurements were conducted at AWI by ICP-TOF-MS (Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany) and ICP-Q-MS (Elan 6000, Perkin Elmer/Sciex, Waltham, Massachusetts). Analyses by ICP-SF-MS (Element2, Thermo Finnigan, Bremen, Germany) were performed at IDPA. The experimental setup for the ICP-TOF-MS is shown elsewhere [12]. The ICP-TOF-MS and the ICP-Q-MS were situated in clean room laboratories, US Class 10000; the ICP-SF-MS is equipped with a US Class 100 clean bench as a clean sample introduction area. To minimize spectral interference and oxide-formation, microflow nebulization systems with desolvating units were used (Aridus II (for ICP-TOF-MS), MCN6000 (for ICP-Q-MS), Aridus I (for ICP-SF-MS); all: Cetac Technologies, Omaha, Nebraska). These three systems were equipped with a 100 μ L min⁻¹ PFA nebulizer, a heated PFA spray chamber, and a heated microporous PTFE membrane. Besides reducing the oxide formation, the signal intensities increased by a factor of ~10 when compared to analysis with cross flow nebulization for ICP-TOF-MS and ICP-Q-MS and by a factor of ~5 for ICP-SF-MS. The instrument settings (Table 1) and the oxide-forming rates of all systems were optimized daily by tuning with a Ce solution.

The main difference between the three sample introduction systems consists in the installation of the spray chamber with respect to the installation of the membrane. Due to vertically installation of the spray chamber in the MCN6000 and slightly downward tilted position in the Aridus I system, larger droplets might pass towards the membrane leading to less stable signals, when the sample flow is not adjusted carefully. In contrast, the spray chamber is slightly upward tilted in the Aridus II system to disable larger droplets to pass towards the membrane, leading to more stable signals. Moreover, in the Aridus II system the nebulizer and spray chamber are shielded to reduce electrostatic effects. Even if different sample introduction systems were used it was expected that only the relative standard deviation (RSD) of replicate analysis was influenced.

	Analytik Jena ICP-TOF-MS	Perkin Elmer/Sciex Elan6000	Thermo Finnigan, Element2
ICP-MS			
RF Power (W)	1050	1350	1250
Plasma gas (L min ⁻¹)	14.5	15	15.5
Auxiliary gas (L min ⁻¹)	1.4	0.8	1.8
Nebulizer gas (L min ⁻¹)	0.98	0.62	0.8-1.1
resolution adopted (m Δm^{-1})	620	350	~400
Nebulizer (with desolvatisation)	Aridus II	MCN 6000	Aridus I
Sweep gas (L min ⁻¹)	4.6 - 5.0	2.35	3.40-4.15
Nitrogen (mL min ⁻¹)	21 - 22	12	1 - 18
T (spray chamber) (°C)	110	110	95
T (desolvating unit) (°C)	160	160	175
Sample uptake (µL min ⁻¹)	130	100	100
Data aquisition			
	from mass		
Isotopes analysed	7 to 238	40	19
Replicates	6	3	40
Integration time (s)	7	0.1 (each isotope)	0.01 (each isotope)
Sweeps	175000	20	30
Measuring time per sample (min)	1.5	7	8
Oxides (%)	0.2 - 0.5	0.03	0.2
Double charged ions (%)	14 - 15	5 - 7	3
miscellaneous			
analyzing mode	simultaneous	sequential	sequential
dynamic range	4	9	9
background cps (REE)	0.5 - 1	3 - 30	0.2
sensitivity 1 µg L ⁻¹ Indium (cps)	23000	83000	$\sim 3 \times 10^6$
RSD for Nd (%) (conc. 4-50 ng L^{-1})	3.2	11.5	7.1
TOF: n=6; Q: n=3; SF: n=40			
IDL of REE (ng L ⁻⁺)	0.3 - 1	1 - 3	0.001 - 0.03

Table 1: Instrument settings and measurement parameters for the ICP-TOF-MS, ICP-Q-MS and ICP-SF-MS systems and desolvation units.

Results and discussion

Performance of the ICP-TOF-MS system

To counteract sensitivity drifts, three calibrations were run every day. The linearity of calibration curves was checked by analysing standards at the end of an analysis cycle. Linearity was ascertained from 1 ng L⁻¹ to 5 μ g L⁻¹. Seven calibration standards ranging from 1 ng L⁻¹ to 500 ng L⁻¹ were analysed ten times per calibration. The calibration range was chosen according to the concentrations expected in the Antarctic ice samples. Table 2 shows averaged calibration data from five calibrations over two days.

Using the Aridus II as the sample introduction system the sensitivity of the tuned ICP-TOF-MS system ranged between 16000 and 32000 counts per second (cps) and μ g L⁻¹ depending on the REE (see slope in Table 2), which corresponds to 242 cps and 485 cps ng⁻¹ at a sample consumption of 15.2 μ L per replicate analysis (175000 Sweeps). A low calibration intercept was obtained for all REE (-121 cps to 92 cps). Generally the standard deviation (SD) for the intercept was high due to counting statistics which decrease with decreasing element concentrations. The resulting correlation coefficients for REE are higher than 0.9980. No IDL was higher than 1 ng L⁻¹ (3 σ criterion of the blank). For ice samples analyses all signals were first normalized to the internal standard and afterwards the normalized blank value was subtracted.

Table 2: ICP-TOF-MS calibration data for the investigations of intercomparison samples. Values were calculated on the basis of 5 different calibrations. Each standard was analysed 10 times per calibration. The slope with its SD in cps (ng L^{-1})⁻¹, the intercept with its SD in cps, the blank and 50 ng L^{-1} signal with their SD in cps, the correlation coefficient (r^2) and the instrumental detection limit (IDL) in ng L^{-1} are given. Additionally REE concentrations of digested blank samples (in ng L^{-1}) are shown. For calculating element concentration in samples, calibration signals were first normalized to ¹⁰³Rh and afterwards the normalized blank signals were subtracted.

	No. of	slope ± SD	intercept \pm SD	blank ± SD	$50 \text{ ng L}^{-1} \pm \text{SD}$	r^2	IDL	conc. digested
	data points	$(cps (\mu g L^{-1})^{-1})$	(cps)	(cps)	(cps)		$(ng L^{-1})$	blank samples (ng L ⁻¹)
La	6	27948 ± 1087	5 ± 19	31 ± 6	1363 ± 101	0.9988	0.6	0.9 ± 0.03
Ce	7	30553 ± 2294	-121 ± 47	38 ± 9	$1260~\pm~122$	0.9989	0.6	3.4 ± 0.1
Pr	6	31525 ± 1277	0 ± 24	24 ± 6	$1542~\pm~137$	0.9989	0.5	$0.7~\pm~0.01$
Nd	5	27248 ± 1425	92 ± 18	103 ± 12	$1462~\pm~106$	0.9980	0.8	1.9 ± 0.1
Sm	6	16958 ± 987	56 ± 10	61 ± 7	$892~\pm~69$	0.9987	0.9	0.4 ± 0.04
Eu	5	29882 ± 1632	38 ± 13	46 ± 7	$1539~\pm~126$	0.9985	0.5	0.1 ± 0.01
Gd	5	21286 ± 955	70 ± 13	78 ± 8	1140 ± 71	0.9984	0.7	0.5 ± 0.03
Tb	6	31998 ± 737	-3 ± 21	25 ± 4	$1544~\pm~102$	0.9988	0.3	0.1 ± 0.01
Dy	5	21299 ± 1222	53 ± 11	59 ± 7	$1122~\pm~80$	0.9987	0.7	0.7 ± 0.01
Но	5	28628 ± 1585	13 ± 11	23 ± 4	$1449~\pm~100$	0.9986	0.3	0.1 ± 0.01
Er	5	16880 ± 1152	32 ± 6	37 ± 5	$881~\pm~70$	0.9988	0.7	0.3 ± 0.02
Tm	5	28658 ± 1794	15 ± 10	23 ± 4	1455 ± 112	0.9988	0.3	0.1 ± 0.01
Yb	5	23954 ± 1343	70 ± 14	77 ± 7	1271 ± 92	0.9984	0.7	0.6 ± 0.04
Lu	6	27593 ± 1240	5 ± 16	24 ± 4	$1348~\pm~102$	0.9992	0.4	0.2 ± 0.00
Rh*				$12572~\pm~719$	$12926~\pm~810$			

* unit: cps $(\mu g L^{-1})^{-1}$

Accuracy and Precision of the ICP-TOF-MS and ICP-Q-MS

Two reference materials, SPS-SW1 and SLRS-4, were analysed. Certified REE concentrations are only available for SPS-SW1, each concentration amount being 500 ng L⁻¹. Table 3 summarizes all REE concentrations obtained by ICP-TOF-MS and ICP-Q-MS, the certified values for SPS-SW1 as well as the literature data available for SLRS-4 [26]. For SPS-SW1 the concentrations found do not differ significantly from the certified values except for La, Ce, and Dy. Both MS systems showed distinct differences for Dy to the certified values. The concentrations for La and Ce obtained by ICP-TOF-MS were also significantly increased for SLRS-4. For ICP-TOF-MS analysis it was noted that Ba concentrations exceeding 1 µg L⁻¹ (~18000 cps) affect the determination of La and Ce. SPS-SW1 contains 50 μ g L⁻¹ of Ba or, in a 1:100 dilution, only 0.5 μ g L⁻¹. The influence of this high Ba content should be clarified in further investigations. SLRS-4 contains 12.2 μ g L⁻¹ of Ba (1.22 μ g L⁻¹ in a 1:10 dilution) and La and Ce analysis are also influenced although not as severely as in SPS-SW1. In general, REE concentrations of SLRS-4 obtained by ICP-TOF-MS, ICP-Q-MS and literature data [26] agree well. In most cases, no significant differences were estimated at the 99% level of confidence. The RSD of 10 replicate analyses were similar for the two MS systems. Median values were 3.5% for ICP-TOF-MS analysis (e.g. Ce: 3.4%, Gd: 2.8%, Yb: 5.8) and 2.5% for ICP-Q-MS analysis (e.g. Ce: 1.3%, Gd: 4.7%, Yb: 4.2%) in a concentration range of 2 ng L^{-1} to 60 ng L^{-1} .

Table 3: REE concentrations in ng L^{-1} with SD (10 replicate measurements) in reference materials SPS-SW1 and SLRS-4 obtained by ICP-TOF-MS and ICP-Q-MS. Recovery rates in % for reference material SPS-SW1 are shown in brackets below the concentrations obtained by ICP-TOF-MS and ICP-Q-MS. Concentrations of reference materials SPS-SW1 were derived by analysing a 1:100 dilution. REE from La to Nd in SLRS-4 were analysed out of a 1:10 dilution, all other REE were analysed without any dilution.

		SPS-SW1 (ng L ⁻¹)	<u>S</u>	LRS-4 (ng L ⁻	¹)
	certified	ICP-TOF-MS (recovery rate (%)	ICP-Q-MS	ICP-TOF-MS	ICP-Q-MS	reference [26]
La	500 ± 10	586 ± 10	495 ± 16	304 ± 4	278 ± 4	287 ± 7
Ce	500 ± 10	(117.3) 581 ± 22 (116.1)	(98.9) 516 ± 10 (103.3)	411 ± 12	361 ± 2	360 ± 11
Pr	500 ± 10	516 ± 17	(103.3) 510 ± 8 (101.9)	77 ± 1	67 ± 2	69 ± 2
Nd	500 ± 10	(103.2) 505 ± 37 (101.1)	510 ± 8	260 ± 10	262 ± 10	269 ± 13
Sm	500 ± 10	(101.1) 497 ± 17 (99.4)	497 ± 1 (99.4)	64 ± 2	57 ± 3	57 ± 2
Eu	500 ± 10	497 ± 14 (99.4)	510 ± 8 (102.1)	12 ± 0.3	11 ± 1	8 ± 0.5
Gd	500 ± 10	490 ± 10 (98.0)	513 ± 8 (102.6)	37 ± 1	40 ± 3	34 ± 2
Tb	500 ± 10	482 ± 13 (96.3)	506 ± 6	4 ± 0.2	5 ± 0.4	4 ± 0.3
Dy	500 ± 10	603 ± 5 (120.7)	852 ± 21 (170.4)	22 ± 1.9	24 ± 0.4	24 ± 1.4
Но	500 ± 10	486 ± 17 (97.3)	519 ± 13 (103.8)	5 ± 0.2	4 ± 0.5	5 ± 0.3
Er	500 ± 10	461 ± 36 (92.2)	498 ± 5 (99.6)	12 ± 0.4	16 ± 0.9	13 ± 0.5
Tm	500 ± 10	(92.2) 490 ± 20 (98.0)	510 ± 5 (102.0)	2 ± 0.1	2 ± 0.3	2 ± 0.1
Yb	500 ± 10	503 ± 28 (100 7)	503 ± 16	11 ± 0.7	11 ± 0.6	12 ± 0.3
Lu	500 ± 10	490 ± 22 (98.1)	517 ± 3 (103.3)	3 ± 0.1	2 ± 0.2	2 ± 0.1

Interference studies

To reduce problems with spectral interferences in ICP-TOF-MS, for REE mainly represented by oxides, the described desolvation unit was used. Additionally, equations were compiled to calculate the residual fractions of the interfering species. Equation 1, for example, can be used to correct isobaric interferences of ¹⁴²Nd by ¹⁴²Ce, while the correction of the ¹⁵⁵Gd signal in equation 2, is shown as an example for the correction of the spectral interferences:

$$^{142}Nd_{corr} = {}^{142}Nd - \frac{11.08}{88.48} {}^{140}Ce$$
(1)

$$^{155}Gd_{corr} = ^{155}Gd - 0.9967 \cdot ^{139}La \cdot MO^+$$
⁽²⁾

Isobaric interferences are present for isotopes such as ¹⁴²Nd (¹⁴²Ce, 11.08%), ¹⁴⁴Nd (¹⁴⁴Sm, 3.1%) and ¹⁶⁴Dy (¹⁶⁴Er, 1.61%). Corrections due to oxide formation must be applied (equation 2) for isotopes exceeding a mass of 154. Tests showed in fact that BaO⁺ species are not present and therefore oxide corrections for masses between 146 and 154 can be neglected. Table S2 shows the analysed isotopes with their natural abundances and potential interfering species. When possible, several isotopes of one element were determined to check the

efficacy of the corrections. The very good agreement among concentrations obtained by two or more different isotopes suggests that isobaric and spectral interferences were successfully corrected or rendered negligible.

Ba concentrations exceeding $1 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$ lead to high background signals (10 cps; usually 0.5 – 1 cps) in the ICP-TOF-MS for mass 139 (La) and 140 (Ce). Therefore, in the majority of cases, La and Ce concentrations were obtained from the diluted samples. Successfully tested equations are integrated within the evaluation system of the ICP-Q-MS systems. REE interference studies of the ICP-SF-MS system used at IDPA are reported in [1].

Long term stability of the ICP-TOF-MS

Two hundred measurements, each with 7 s integration time and 5.4 s relaxation time amounting to a total of 41.3 minutes, have been conducted for long term stability tests of the ICP-TOF-MS system. Several standards were tested and showed very good long term stability. For example, the RSD of Er, the REE with the lowest signal sensitivity, was: 12.9% for a blank standard (37 cps), 11.7% for a 1 ng L⁻¹ standard (52 cps), 5.9% for a 10 ng L⁻¹ standard (180 cps), 3.4% for a 0.1 μ g L⁻¹ standard (1675 cps), 1.0% for a 0.5 μ g L⁻¹ standard (9450 cps) and 0.8% for a 1 μ g L⁻¹ standard (20230 cps). This is in a good agreement with data obtained by coupling the Aridus II to a Quadrupole ICP-MS system [27].

Concentrations in Antarctic ice core samples

An inter-comparison exercise between three different ICP-MS systems was carried out to illustrate the performance of the ICP-TOF-MS. Figure 1 shows correlation diagrams for REE concentrations obtained by the three different MS systems. For the linear fit function the uncertainties linked to each REE concentration were taken as weighting parameters (*error* = SD/\sqrt{n} , n = number of replicate analysis). The intercept was assumed to be zero. Table S3 lists all concentration data. All concentrations of Antarctic samples were calculated accounting for concentration after digestion (on average a factor of 4) and after subtracting blank.

In general SDs obtained by ICP-Q-MS were much higher compared to the other two MS systems. However, ICP-Q-MS data agreed very well with ICP-SF-MS results. ICP-TOF-MS data were on average slightly higher than concentrations obtained by ICP-Q-MS and on average slightly lower when compared to ICP-SF-MS. Diagrams illustrating ICP-TOF-MS data show on average stronger deviation from the linear fit curve at lower concentrations than the correlation diagrams comparing ICP-SF-MS and ICP-Q-MS data.

For interpretation of correlation diagrams the concentration factor has to be taken into account. Concentrations analysed were about a factor of four higher than concentrations shown in Figure 1 and Table S3. Calculated concentrations range between 0.6 to 260 ng L⁻¹ for light REE (LREE: La – Sm) and between 0.08 to 35 ng L⁻¹ for heavy REE (HREE: Eu – Lu). Concentrations of glacial samples from the EDC ice core samples analysed previously [1] agree very well with data obtained in this study.

The lowest La concentrations analysed in this study amount to 30 ng L^{-1} (Figure 1A). La concentrations below 40 ng L^{-1} showed strong deviation from the linear fit function in the

correlation diagrams illustrating ICP-TOF-MS data. La concentrations obtained by the ICP-TOF-MS system for EDML samples from 904 m, 953 m and 1202 m depth and the EDC sample from 460.9 m depth were higher than for the other systems, whereas lower concentrations were obtained for one EDML sample (1403 m depth) and one EDC sample (411.4 m depth). La concentrations differed from each other for the EDML sample from 1102 m depth for all MS systems. In general, Eu concentrations obtained by ICP-TOF-MS measurements seem to be overestimated, as shown by low slope values (m < 0.7, Figure 1 F). The ICP-TOF-MS system yielded values of Pr, Nd, Sm and Eu which were a factor of 2 higher for the EDC sample from 405.9 m depth and a factor of 2 up to 10 higher for Sm, Eu, Gd and Tb for the EDC sample from 422.4 m depth. Concentrations obtained by the ICP-Q-MS system were higher by a factor of 2 up to 3 for the EDML sample from 1302 m depth and shows higher values for all elements for the EDC samples from 442.2 m and 451.6 m depth. Higher concentrations were found by ICP-SF-MS for the EDML sample from 1102 m depth.

The Student T-Test was used to compare REE concentrations for all 20 samples (99% significance level). Comparison of ICP-TOF-MS concentrations with ICP-Q-MS concentrations showed that most of the calculated REE concentrations were not significantly different. However, the conformity between the ICP-Q-MS and ICP-SF-MS systems is better than between the ICP-TOF-MS and ICP-SF-MS systems. The reason for these differences might be that Ba concentrations influence the ICP-TOF-MS determination of La and Ce and that very low HREE concentrations were analysed.

All REE concentrations shown in Figure 1 and Table S3 were about a factor of 5 up to 90 higher than in the digested blank samples. For Antarctic ice core samples the results from the ICP-TOF-MS system were similar to those of the ICP-Q-MS and ICP-SF-MS for concentration levels down to: La: 40 ng L⁻¹, Ce: 40 ng L⁻¹, Pr: 7 ng L⁻¹, Nd: 20 ng L⁻¹, Sm: 4 ng L⁻¹, Gd: 3 ng L⁻¹, Tb: 1 ng L⁻¹, Dy: 3 ng L⁻¹, Ho: 1.5 ng L⁻¹, Er: 2 ng L⁻¹, Tm: 0.8 ng L⁻¹, Yb: 2 ng L⁻¹ and Lu 0.5 ng L⁻¹. Eu concentrations obtained by the ICP-TOF-MS system deviated systematically from concentrations obtained by the other systems.



Figure 1: REE concentrations with SD in ng L^{-1} in Antarctic ice core samples plotted as ICP-Q-MS concentrations vs. ICP-TOF-MS concentrations, ICP-SF-MS concentrations vs. ICP-TOF-MS concentrations and ICP-SF-MS concentrations vs. ICP-Q-MS concentrations with associated parameters for a linear fit ($y = m \cdot x$, Assumption: intercept=0). Errors of each measurement were taken as weighting parameters (error = SD/\sqrt{n} , n = replicate analysis).



Figure 1: continued

Comparison of ICP-MS systems

Table 1 shows the most important parameters for the ICP-TOF-MS, ICP-Q-MS and ICP-SF-MS systems used in this study. The simultaneous analysing mode of the ICP-TOF-MS leads to several advantages including (i) no limitation on the number of analysed isotopes and (ii) its analysis time when compared to other ICP-MS techniques. While the ICP-TOF-MS system needs 1.5 minutes per sample to analyse the mass range from ⁷Li to ²³⁸ U (6-fold analysis, 175000 sweeps), the ICP-Q-MS needs 7 minutes for 40 isotopes (3-fold analysis, 20 sweeps) and the ICP-SF-MS needs 8 minutes for 19 isotopes (40-fold analysis, 30 sweeps). Hence the ICP-TOF-MS system requires the lowest sample consumption. However, for the ICP-SF-MS system background signals below 0.2 cps and high sensitivity lead to very low IDL (0.001 – 0.03 ng L⁻¹). The signal to noise ratio for ICP-TOF-MS and ICP-Q-MS is much lower (31000 and 4900, respectively), consequently higher IDL are observed (0.3 – 1 ng L⁻¹; 1 – 3 ng L⁻¹).

Conclusions

REE analysis of standard reference materials showed that the ICP-TOF-MS system is best suited for the determination of trace elements with concentrations up to 500 ng L^{-1} . The accuracy and precision found for the reference standard SPS-SW1 are very good. The study of reference materials and inter-comparison exercise between ICP-TOF-MS (Analytik Jena AG), ICP-Q-MS (Elan6000 PerkinElmer/Sciex) and ICP-SF-MS (Element 2, Thermo Finnigan) systems showed that the ICP-TOF-MS system determines accurately and precisely REE concentrations exceeding 5 ng L^{-1} and that the accuracy and precision between 0.5 and 5 ng L^{-1} is element dependent. The data indicate that the ICP part of the system is not ideal. It is therefore expected that with improved plasma conditions the ICP-TOF-MS technique may become a very attractive alternative to the ICP-Q-MS and ICP-SF-MS techniques. In general, the expected REE concentrations and the available sample volume can define the kind of ICP-MS to be chosen for analysis. The ICP-SF-MS is the most sensitive method for REE determination and therefore it is recommended when the concentration range is unknown or the sample amount is very small. However, in practical analysis the IDL, resolution on interferences, sample throughput, sample consumption, analytical stability and cost are also important parameters which influence the choice of the ICP-MS system.

Acknowledgement

This work is a contribution to the European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica (EPICA), a joint European Science Foundation/European Commission scientific programme, funded by the EU and by national contributions from Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom. The main logistical support was provided by IPEV and PNRA (at Dome C) and AWI (at Dronning Maud Land). This is EPICA publication 200. Special thanks to J-R. Petit, B. Delmonte, M. Bigler and F. Lambert for sample preparation (Antarctic ice cores). The authors would like to thank G. Schlemmer, E. Thamm (both Analytik Jena), F. Wilhelms, J. Schwarz (both AWI) and C. Lüdke for discussion and comments that improved the manuscript. We thank the two anonymous reviewers for constructive criticism.

Supplementary Material

In the supplementary material information about the cleaning procedure of labware at AWI, the EPICA ice core samples and the separation of the samples used for ICP-MS analysis from the inner part of the ice cores are provided. The concentration and digestion of Antarctic ice core samples is shown in Figure S1 and Table S1. Table S2 shows the determined REE isotopes with natural abundances and potential interference species, which are taken into account for evaluating ICP-TOF-MS data. Table S3 lists all concentration data, with SD, of Antarctic ice core samples obtained by ICP-TOF-MS, ICP-Q-MS and ICP-SF-MS analysis.

Reference

- P. Gabrielli, C. Barbante, C. Turetta, A. Marteel, C. Boutron, G. Cozzi, W. Cairns, C. Ferrari, P. Cescon, Anal. Chem., 78 (2006) 1883.
- [2] G. Tao, R. Yamada, Y. Fujikawa, A. Kudo, J. Zheng, D.A. Fisher, R.M. Koerner, Talanta, 55 (2001) 765.
- [3] P.P. Mahoney, S.J. Ray, G.M. Hieftje, Focal Point, 51 (1) (1997) 16A.
- [4] K. Benkhedda, H.G. Infante, F.C. Adams, Anal. Chim. Acta, 506 (2004) 137.
- [5] X. Tian, H. Emteborg., M. Barbaste, F.C. Adams, JAAS, 15 (2000) 829.
- [6] M.V. Peláez, J.M.Costa-Fernández, A. Sanz-Medel, JAAS, 17 (2002) 950.
- [7] E. Hoffmann, C. Lüdke, J. Skole, H. Stephanowitz, J. Wollbrandt, W. Becker, Spectrochim. Acta Part B, 57 (10) (2002) 1535.
- [8] C. Lüdke, J. Skole, K. Taubner, M. Kriews, Spectrochim. Acta Part B, 60 (11) (2005) 1412.
- [9] M. Balcerzak, Anal. Sci., 19 (2003) 979.
- [10] N.H. Bings, J.M. Costa-Fernandez, J.P. Guzowski, A.M. Leach, G.M. Hieftje, Spectrochim. Acta Part B, 55 (7) (2000) 767.
- [11] N.H. Bings, Nachrichten aus der Chemie, 49(9) (2001) 1069.
- [12] E. Hoffmann, C. Lüdke, Spectrosc. Eur., 17 (No.1) (2005) 20.
- [13] X. Tian, H. Emteborg, F.C. Adams, JAAS, 14 (1999) 1807.
- [14] R.E. Sturgeon, J.W.H. Lam, A. Saint, JAAS, 15 (2000) 607.
- [15] K. Benkhedda, H.G. Infante, F.C. Adams, Trends in analytical Chemistry, 21 (5) (2002) 332.
- [16] S.N. Willie, R.E. Sturgeon, Spectrochim. Acta, 56B (2001) 1707.
- [17] M. Revel-Rolland, P. De Deckker, B. Delmonte, P.P. Hesse, J.W. Magee, I. Basile-Doelsch, F. Grousset, D. Bosch, EPSL, 249 (2006) 1.
- [18] M. Legrand, P. Mayewski, Rev. Geophys., 35 (1997) 219.
- [19] E. Wolff, H. Fischer, F. Fundel, U. Ruth, B. Twarloh, G.C. Littot, R. Mulvaney, R. Röthlisberger, M. de Angelis, C.F. Boutron, M. Hansson, U. Jonsell, M.A. Hutterli, F. Lambert, P. Kaufmann, B. Stauffer, T.F. Stocker, J.P. Steffensen, M. Bigler, M.L. Siggaard-Andersen, R. Udisti, S. Becagli, E. Castellano, M. Severi, D. Wagenbach, C. Barbante, P. Gabrielli, V. Gaspari, Nature, 440 (2006) 491.
- [20] I. Basile, F.E. Grousset, M. Revel, J.R. Petit, P.E. Biscaye, N.I. Barkov, EPSL, 146 (1996) 573.
- [21] S. Hong, J.P. Candelone, C. Turetta, C.F. Boutron, EPSL, 143 (1996) 233.

- [22] EPICA Community Members, Nature, 444 (2006) 195.
- [23] EPICA Community Members, Nature, 429 (2004) 623.
- [24] U. Ruth, J.M. Barnola, J. Beer, M. Bigler, T. Blunier, E. Castellano, H. Fischer, F. Fundel, P. Huybrechts, P. Kaufmann, S. Kipfstuhl, A. Lambrecht, A. Morganti, H. Oerter, F. Parrenin, O. Rybak, M. Severi, R. Udisti, F. Wilhelms, E. Wolff, Clim. Past, 3 (2007) 475.
- [25] L. Augustin, J. Jouzel, PANGAEA, doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.198743, http://store.pangaea.de/Projects/EPICA/EDC_descr.pdf (2004).
- [26] D. Yeghicheyan, J. Carignan, M. Valladon, M. B. Le Coz, F. Le Cornec, M. Castrec-Rouelle, M. Robert, L. Aquilina, E. Aubry, C. Churlaud, A. Dia, S. Deberdt, B. Dupré, R. Freydier, G. Gruau, O. Hénin, A.M. de Kersabiec, J. Macé, L. Marin, N. Morin, P. Petitjean, E. Serrat, Geostand. Geoanal. Res., 25 (2-3) (2001) 465.
- [27] CETAC, http://www.cetac.com/pdfs/Tech%20Note01_Aridus%20II.pdf (08.05.2008).

Supplementary Material

Cleaning procedure of labware performed at the AWI

At AWI, all labware to which samples and standards were exposed were run through a special cleaning procedure

- 1 week treatment with 3% Mucasol solution (Merck) to degrease all labware, followed by rinsing of all parts with ultrapure water
- 1 week treatment with 1:4 diluted HCl (30%, suprapure, Merck), followed by rinsing of all parts with ultrapure water
- 1 week treatment with 1:4 diluted HNO3 (distilled 65%, p.a., Merck), followed by rinsing of all parts with ultrapure water
- 1 week treatment with 1:10 diluted HNO3 (65%, suprapure, Merck), followed by rinsing of all parts with ultrapure water
- Drying of all parts in a clean room US Class 10000 under a clean bench, US Class 100
- Packing of all parts in 2 polyethylene (PE) bags for storage

Information on ice core samples and separation of the inner part of the ice core

Within EPICA, a 2774.15 m long ice core (diameter: 98 mm) was drilled in a fluid filled hole at Kohnen station (Dronning Maud Land (DML), location: 75°00'S, 0°04'E). A 3189.45 m long ice core (diameter: 98 mm) was drilled in a fluid filled hole at EPICA Dome C station (location: 75°06'S, 123°21'E) [1–2]. Samples from Kohnen station are called EDML samples and samples from Dome C station are referred to as EDC samples. 9 samples from the EDML ice core and 11 samples from the EDC ice core were chosen for REE analysis. All samples originate from glacial times. Samples from Kohnen station cover the period from 16.6 kyr before present (b.p., where present is defined as 1950) to 48.7 kyr b.p. [3]. The top of the youngest Dome C ice core sample was 14.2 kyr old and the bottom of the oldest ice core was 21.5 kyr old [4].

A section from the inner part of the ice core was available for REE analysis. From the top of each ice-core sample a 30 cm long section was cut using a band saw, stored in a cold room at -20° C at the Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Geophysique de l'Environment (Grenoble, France) and used for further sample preparation steps. The sample surface was decontaminated in a first step by sawing 1 cm off the surface with a band saw. The 30 cm long section was divided into three 10 cm long parts. Each part was rinsed with 1 to 2 L ultrapure water three times. In consequence of this procedure the weight of each part was reduced by 50%. The three parts of each section were placed in pre-cleaned high density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles and melted at room temperature. Samples were dispersed by pivoting; aliquots were filled in polystyrene (PS) vials and finally stored at -20°C.

Reference

- [1] EPICA Community Members, Nature, 444 (2006) 195.
- [2] EPICA Community Members, Nature, 429 (2004) 623.
- [3] U. Ruth, J.M. Barnola, J. Beer, M. Bigler, T. Blunier, E. Castellano, H. Fischer, F. Fundel, P. Huybrechts, P. Kaufmann, S. Kipfstuhl, A. Lambrecht, A. Morganti, H. Oerter, F. Parrenin, O. Rybak, M. Severi, R. Udisti, F. Wilhelms, E. Wolff, Clim. Past, 3 (2007) 475.
- [4] L. Augustin, J. Jouzel, PANGAEA, doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.198743,
- http://store.pangaea.de/Projects/EPICA/EDC_descr.pdf (2004).



Figure S1: Left: Experimental setup for concentration of liquid samples before the addition of acids and after acid digestion. Right: Experimental setup for the acid digestion of Antarctic ice core samples.

Con	centration of sa	mples before dig	gestion		
	Programme	heating plate	time (hours)	command	Temperature (°C)
	concentration	bottom plate	2	heat up to	160
			1.12'	keep constant on	160
		upper plate	1.20'	cool down to	110
			1.30'	keep constant on	110
			1	keep constant on	90

Table S1: Digestion programme of Antarctic ice core samples.

Digestion

(with 2 mL sub-boiled HNO₃ (distilled 65 %, p.a., Merck), 1 mL sub-boiled HF (40 %, suprapure, Merck) and 2 mL H_2O_2 (30 %, suprapure, Merck))

Programme	heating plate	time (hours)	command	Temperature (°C)
digestion	bottom plate	1	heat up to	100
		5	keep constant on	100
		1	heat up to	120
		2	keep constant on	120
		1	heat up to	140
		2	keep constant on	140
		1	heat up to	160
		2	keep constant on	160
		1	heat up to	180
		4	keep constant on	180
		2	heat up to	210
		7	keep constant on	210
		2	keep constant on	170
		2	keep constant on	160
		1.30'	keep constant on	140

Programme	heating plate	time (hours)	command	Temperature (°C)
concentration	bottom plate	2	heat up to	160
		0.32'	keep constant on	160
	upper plate	1.20'	heat up to	110
		1.30'	keep constant on	110
		1	keep constant on	90

	Analyte	Potentia	al Interferences
Isotope	Abundance (%)	Species	Abundance $(\%)^a$
¹³⁹ La	99.91		
¹⁴⁰ Ce	88.48		
¹⁴¹ Pr	100		
¹⁴² Nd	27.13	¹⁴² Ce	11.08
¹⁴³ Nd	12.18		
¹⁴⁴ Nd	23.8	¹⁴⁴ Sm	3.1
¹⁴⁵ Nd	8.3		
¹⁴⁶ Nd	17.19		
147 Sm	15		
¹⁴⁹ Sm	13.8		
152 Sm	26.7		
¹⁵¹ Eu	47.8		
¹⁵³ Eu	52.2		
¹⁵⁵ Gd	14.8	¹³⁹ La ¹⁶ O	99.67
¹⁵⁶ Gd	20.47	¹⁴⁰ Ce ¹⁶ O	88.26
¹⁵⁷ Gd	15.65	¹⁴¹ Pr ¹⁶ O	99.76
¹⁵⁸ Gd	24.84	¹⁴² Nd ¹⁶ O	27.09
		¹⁴² Ce ¹⁶ O	11.05
¹⁵⁹ Tb	100	¹⁴³ Nd ¹⁶ O	12.15
¹⁶¹ Dy	18.9	¹⁴⁵ Nd ¹⁶ O	8.28
¹⁶³ Dy	24.9	¹⁴⁷ Sm ¹⁶ O	14.96
¹⁶⁴ Dy	28.2	¹⁴⁸ Sm ¹⁶ O	11.27
		¹⁴⁸ Nd ¹⁶ O	5.75
		¹⁶⁴ Er	1.61
¹⁶⁵ Ho	100	¹⁴⁹ Sm ¹⁶ O	13.77
¹⁶⁶ Er	33.6	¹⁵⁰ Nd ¹⁶ O	5.63
¹⁶⁷ Er	22.95	¹⁵¹ Eu ¹⁶ O	47.69
¹⁶⁸ Er	26.8	¹⁵² Sm ¹⁶ O	26.64
¹⁶⁹ Tm	100	¹⁵³ Eu ¹⁶ O	52.07
¹⁷¹ Yb	14.3	¹⁵⁵ Gd ¹⁶ O	14.76
¹⁷² Yb	21.9	¹⁵⁶ Gd ¹⁶ O	20.42
¹⁷³ Yb	16.12	¹⁵⁷ Gd ¹⁶ O	15.61
¹⁷⁴ Yb	31.8	¹⁵⁸ Gd ¹⁶ O	24.78
¹⁷⁵ Lu	100	¹⁵⁹ Tb ¹⁶ O	99.76

Table S2: Analysed isotopes with natural abundances and potential interference species,which are taken into account for evaluating ICP-TOF-MS data.

^a For polyatomic species calculated as the product of the natural abundance of each isotope divided by 100.

t 4 and		$Lu \pm SD$	0.2 ± 0.04	0.1 ± 0.02	0.1 ± 0.01	1.5 ± 0.05	1.5 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.04	1.3 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.2	2.4 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.04	0.3 ± 0.04	0.3 ± 0.01	0.1 ± 0.04	0.7 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.01	0.1 ± 0.04	0.1 ± 0.02	0.1 ± 0.01	0.4 ± 0.05	0.3 ± 0.05	0.4 ± 0.03
of abou		$Yb \pm SD$	0.8 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.0	1.6 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.6	5.2 ± 0.3	3.5 ± 0.3	3.9 ± 1.1	8.7 ± 0.4	5.1 ± 0.1	5.0 ± 0.6	5.0 ± 0.3	8.4 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 1.1	5.4 ± 1.8	2.1 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.04	1.0 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.04	1.0 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.04	2.1 ± 0.03	2.2 ± 0.3	2.9 ± 0.2
on factor		m ± SD	0.2 ± 0.04	0.2 ± 0.02	0.1 ± 0.01	$.5 \pm 0.05$	$.8 \pm 0.3$	$.8 \pm 0.1$	$.4 \pm 0.3$	$.3 \pm 0.1$	$.3 \pm 0.1$	0.2 ± 0.05	0.7 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.04	$.4 \pm 0.05$	$.3 \pm 0.1$	0.5 ± 0.3 1	0.4 ± 0.03	0.3 ± 0.03	0.3 ± 0.02	0.1 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.01	0.2 ± 0.03	0.2 ± 0.02	0.1 ± 0.01	0.4 ± 0.03	0.3 ± 0.04	0.4 ± 0.03
ncentrati		$Er \pm SD$ 1	7 ± 0.1 (0.8 ± 0.03 ($.8 \pm 0.02$ ($.5 \pm 0.1$]	8 ± 0.2 1	3 ± 0.3	$.7 \pm 0.3$ 1	1.4 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 0.3	$.7 \pm 0.2$ 2	22 ± 0.2 (22 ± 0.1 (1.1 ± 0.2	55 ± 0.3	6.6 ± 1.5 2	$.9 \pm 0.1$ ($.9 \pm 0.2$ ($.9 \pm 0.1$ ($.6 \pm 0.04$ (0.9 ± 0.1 (0.8 ± 0.04 ($.8 \pm 0.04$ (0.9 ± 0.03 (0.9 ± 0.03 (1 ± 0.1 (0.0 ± 0.1 (0.9 ± 0.2 (
ng the cc		${ m Io}\pm{ m SD}$	0.2 ± 0.04 (0.3 ± 0.02 (3.3 ± 0.01 (0.2 ± 0.1 4	0.4 ± 0.1 4	0.6 ± 0.1 5	0.1 ± 0.2 7	0.0 ± 0.2 8	0.1 ± 0.2 8	0.1 ± 0.1 5	$.8 \pm 0.1$ 5	$.8 \pm 0.1$ 5	0.1 ± 0.1 8	0.0 ± 0.2 8	0.9 ± 0.7 16	1.7 ± 0.1 1	0.6 ± 0.04 1	$.6 \pm 0.04$ 1	0.2 ± 0.04 (0.7 ± 0.3 (3 ± 0.02 (0.2 ± 0.04 (3 ± 0.02 (3.3 ± 0.02 (1.7 ± 0.04 2	0.7 ± 0.04 2	$.0 \pm 0.1$ 2
'er applyi	ľ.	Jy ± SD I	1.2 ± 0.1 (1.6 ± 0.1 (1.4 ± 0.1 (7.1 ± 0.04 2	7.7 ± 0.4 2	3.3 ± 0.6 2	1.2 ± 0.3 3	5.5 ± 0.6	5.3 ± 0.8 3	0.5 ± 0.02	0.1 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.5 1	5.1 ± 0.3 3	5.4 ± 0.7 3).2 ± 2.7 5	3.3 ± 0.1 (3.1 ± 0.2 (3.3 ± 0.2 (0.9 ± 0.1 (1.8 ± 0.2 (1.3 ± 0.1 (1.1 ± 0.0 (1.6 ± 0.1 (1.6 ± 0.1 (3.3 ± 0.1 (3.6 ± 0.2 (1.9 ± 0.4
ations aft	not listea	$Tb \pm SD$ I	0.2 ± 0.02 1	0.3 ± 0.02 1	0.2 ± 0.01 1	2.0 ± 0.04 7	2.3 ± 0.1 7	2.4 ± 0.1 8	2.7 ± 0.3 14	2.5 ± 0.1 15	2.6 ± 0.1 15	2.9 ± 0.1 9	1.5 ± 0.1 9	5 ± 0.1 5	2.6 ± 0.1 15	2.5 ± 0.1 15	5.1 ± 0.5 30	5 ± 0.03 3	5 ± 0.03 3	0.6 ± 0.03 3	0.2 ± 0.02 (1.7 ± 0.3 1	0.2 ± 0.01 1	0.2 ± 0.03 1	0.3 ± 0.02 1	0.2 ± 0.02 1	$.6 \pm 0.04 = 3$	5 ± 0.02 3	8 ± 0.1 4
concentr	limits are	Gd ± SD	1.1 ± 0.1 (1.7 ± 0.2 (1.6 ± 0.1 (7.3 ± 0.3 2	8.4 ± 0.8	8.9 ± 0.5	5.5 ± 0.6	6.3 ± 1.6	6.9 ± 0.9	0.8 ± 0.2	9.5 ± 0.9	0.2 ± 0.6	6.6 ± 0.1 2	7.3 ± 1.7	2.6 ± 3.4	3.6 ± 0.2 (3.4 ± 0.3 (3.5 ± 0.2 (1.1 ± 0.1 (2.3 ± 0.5 (1.5 ± 0.1 (1.2 ± 0.1 (1.9 ± 0.2 (1.8 ± 0.1 (3.5 ± 0.3 (3.9 ± 0.4 (5.0 ± 0.4 (
represent	libration	$Eu \pm SD$	0.5 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.03	3.5 ± 0.1	3.4 ± 0.7	3.7 ± 0.2	5.4 ± 0.3 1	4.6 ± 0.9 1	5.0 ± 0.3 1	4.6 ± 0.1 1	2.6 ± 0.5	2.9 ± 0.2 1	5.5 ± 0.2 1	5.0 ± 0.9 1	0.4 ± 0.9 3.	1.5 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.0	1.1 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.1
<i>uis table</i>	ing the ca	$Sm \pm SD$	1.4 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.1	9.9 ± 0.1	9.9 ± 1.1	0.6 ± 0.7	0.6 ± 0.6	9.9 ± 2.0	0.4 ± 1.2	3.1 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 1.1	2.2 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 2.1	0.2 ± 4.3 1	5.1 ± 0.2	4.1 ± 0.4	4.2 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.1	2.6 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.1	3.9 ± 0.4	5.6 ± 0.5
own in ti	is exceedi	$Nd \pm SD$	5.6 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 1.0	8.1 ± 0.4	54.4 ± 2.8	µ3.7 ± 5.2	I3.2 ± 2.7 1	(1	96.7 ± 11.0 1	89.8 ± 5.1 2	72.6 ± 6.8 1	57.4 ± 6.5 1	53.9 ± 4.7 1	(1	7.4 ± 12.3 2	34.8 ± 21.4 4	26.0 ± 2.0	20.5 ± 2.4	8.6 ± 1.1	5.3 ± 0.2	9.5 ± 1.1	8.0 ± 0.5	6.8 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 1.2	9.1 ± 0.6	6.2 ± 0.3	8.7 ± 2.1	23.0 ± 2.0
Values sh	centration	$\mathbf{Pr} \pm \mathbf{SD}$	1.2 ± 0.0	2.6 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 0.1	10.6 ± 0.1	12.3 ± 1.8 4	14.4 ± 1.1	22.0 ± 0.3	25.2 ± 3.6	27.4 ± 1.4	14.4 ± 0.1	14.8 ± 2.1	16.5 ± 0.8	24.8 ± 0.2	28.3 ± 4.0 1(58.9 ± 6.6 18	6.4 ± 0.02	5.0 ± 0.7	5.6 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.9	2.4 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.03	2.6 ± 0.4	2.7 ± 0.1	4.7 ± 0.1	4.6 ± 0.7	6.9 ± 0.6
ttention:	ions. Con	$Ce \pm SD$	14.5 ± 0.2	20.2 ± 5.7	22.4 ± 1.2	02.5 ± 3.9	97.3 ± 27.6	15.1 ± 9.9	29.1 ± 1.7	24.0 ± 62.8	47.4 ± 14.3	34.2 ± 0.9	32.7 ± 37.2	51.8 ± 10.4		58.3 ± 72.5	38.7 ± 13.2	50.1 ± 1.4	45.1 ± 12.7	49.2 ± 3.0	15.7 ± 0.3	16.6 ± 4.7	21.6 ± 1.2	16.9 ± 0.2	24.1 ± 6.8	24.1 ± 1.3	38.5 ± 0.3	40.2 ± 11.3	61.8 ± 6.3
gure I. A	oncentrati	$La \pm SD$	7.7 ± 0.1	8.2 ± 0.2	8.9 ± 0.5	92.5 ± 2.5 1	42.3 ± 1.6	50.1 ± 6.3 1	10.2 ± 0.4 2	86.1 ± 1.3 2	98.6 ± 5.5 2	60.2 ± 0.4 1	52.4 ± 0.6 1	65.6 ± 7.3 1	15.4 ± 0.8	94.6 ± 1.1 2	40.2 ± 13.8 1	38.3 ± 2.1	18.9 ± 0.5	21.4 ± 1.1	14.0 ± 0.1	8.0 ± 1.0	9.2 ± 0.5	6.9 ± 0.1	10.2 ± 0.7	10.2 ± 0.6	17.2 ± 0.2	17.3 ± 0.2	26.3 ± 2.5
in Fi	unk co		TOF	0	SF	TOF	0	SF	TOF 1	0	\mathbf{SF}	TOF	0	\mathbf{SF}	TOF 1	0	SF 1	TOF	0	\mathbf{SF}	TOF	0	SF	TOF	0	SF	TOF	0	\mathbf{SF}
umo	ng bla	age [23] (kyr b.p.)	16.6			17.7			19.9			22.2			26.5			31.8			37.4			42.8			48.7		
MS as sh	subtracti	EDML depth * (m)	872			904			953			1002			1102			1202			1302			1403			1502		

Table S3: *REE concentrations with SD in ng L⁻¹ of molten EDML and EDC ice core samples analysed by ICP-TOF-MS, ICP-Q-MS and ICP-SF-MS are channeling to the total and total and the total and total and the total and tot*

* top of the ice core bag

24] 24] (-) $La \pm SD$ (-) $Ce \pm SD$ (-) $Pr \pm SD$ (-) $Sm \pm SD$ (-) $Eu \pm SD$ (-) $Tb \pm SD$ (-) $Dy \pm SD$ (-)	La \pm SD Ce \pm SD Pr \pm SD Nd \pm SD Sm \pm SD Eu \pm SD Gd \pm SD Tb \pm SD Dy \pm SD I	Ce \pm SD Pr \pm SD Nd \pm SD Sm \pm SD Eu \pm SD Gd \pm SD Tb \pm SD Dy \pm SD 1	$Pr \pm SD \qquad Nd \pm SD \qquad Sm \pm SD \qquad Eu \pm SD \qquad Gd \pm SD \qquad Tb \pm SD \qquad Dy \pm SD \qquad I$	Nd \pm SD Sm \pm SD Eu \pm SD Gd \pm SD Tb \pm SD Dy \pm SD 1	Sm \pm SD Eu \pm SD Gd \pm SD Tb \pm SD Dy \pm SD I	Eu \pm SD Gd \pm SD Tb \pm SD Dy \pm SD 1	$Gd \pm SD$ Tb $\pm SD$ Dy $\pm SD$ I	Tb \pm SD Dy \pm SD I	$Dy \pm SD$ I	н	$A_0 \pm SD$	$\mathrm{Er}\pm\mathrm{SD}$	$Tm \pm SD$	$Yb \pm SD$	$Lu \pm SD$
2 TOF 5.4 ± 0.2 18.6 ± 0.4 5.3 ± 0.2 2.3 ± 0.1 3.0 ± 0.1 0.8 ± 0.1	F 5.6 ± 0.2 18.6 ± 0.4 5.3 ± 0.2 2.3 ± 0.1 3.0 ± 0.1 0.8 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 0.2 18.6 ± 0.4 5.3 ± 0.2 2.3 ± 0.1 3.0 ± 0.1 0.8 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 0.2 18.6 ± 0.4 5.3 ± 0.2 2.3 ± 0.1 3.0 ± 0.1 0.8 ± 0.1	$18.6 \pm 0.4 \qquad 5.3 \pm 0.2 \qquad 2.3 \pm 0.1 \qquad 3.0 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1$	5.3 \pm 0.2 2.3 \pm 0.1 3.0 \pm 0.1 0.8 \pm 0.1	$2.3 \pm 0.1 \qquad 3.0 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1$	3.0 ± 0.1 0.8 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	1	2.8 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1
Q 14.0 ± 0.2 45.5 ± 12.8 3.7 ± 0.5 12.5 ± 1.4 2.5 ± 0.3 0.8 ± 0.2 2.3 ± 0.3 0.6 ± 0.1	$14.0 \pm 0.2 45.5 \pm 12.8 3.7 \pm 0.5 12.5 \pm 1.4 2.5 \pm 0.3 0.8 \pm 0.2 2.3 \pm 0.3 0.6 \pm 0.1$	$45.5 \pm 12.8 \qquad 3.7 \pm 0.5 \qquad 12.5 \pm 1.4 \qquad 2.5 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.2 \qquad 2.3 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.6 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.5 \pm 0.5 \qquad 0$	$3.7 \pm 0.5 12.5 \pm 1.4 2.5 \pm 0.3 0.8 \pm 0.2 2.3 \pm 0.3 0.6 \pm 0.1$	12.5 ± 1.4 2.5 ± 0.3 0.8 ± 0.2 2.3 ± 0.3 0.6 ± 0.1	$2.5 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.2 \qquad 2.3 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.8 \pm 0.2 \qquad 2.3 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.6 \pm 0.1$	2.3 ± 0.3 0.6 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1		2.8 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.04	1.9 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1
SF 14.6 ± 1.2 38.5 ± 2.9 3.9 ± 0.3 11.0 ± 0.9 3.0 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.1 2.8 ± 0.2 0.8 ± 0.1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$38.5 \pm 2.9 \qquad 3.9 \pm 0.3 \qquad 11.0 \pm 0.9 \qquad 3.0 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.8 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1$	$3.9 \pm 0.3 \qquad 11.0 \pm 0.9 \qquad 3.0 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.8 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1$	$11.0 \pm 0.9 \qquad 3.0 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.8 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1$	$3.0 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.8 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1$	$1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.8 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1$	2.8 ± 0.2 0.8 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1		3.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.04	2.1 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.05
$5 TOF 3.9 \pm 0.1 13.1 \pm 0.3 1.3 \pm 0.1 4.1 \pm 0.1 0.6 \pm 0.1 0.4 \pm 0.1 0.5 \pm 0.1 0.3 \pm 0.1 0.3 \pm 0.1 0.4 \pm 0.1 0.5 \pm 0.1 0.3 \pm 0.1 0.3 \pm 0.1 0.3 \pm 0.1 0.4 \pm 0.1 0.5 \pm 0.5 0.5 \pm 0.5 0.5 \pm 0.5 0.5 \pm 0.5 0.5 0.5 \pm 0.5 0.5 \pm 0.5 $	$F 3.9 \pm 0.1 13.1 \pm 0.3 1.3 \pm 0.1 4.1 \pm 0.1 0.6 \pm 0.1 0.4 \pm 0.1 0.5 \pm 0.1 0.3 \pm 0.1 0.3 \pm 0.1 0.4 \pm 0.1 0.5 \pm 0.1 0.3 $	$13.1 \pm 0.3 \qquad 1.3 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.6 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.5 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.5 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3 \pm 0.1$	$1.3 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.6 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.5 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.1 \pm 0.1 = 0.1 \qquad 0.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.1 = 0.1 \qquad 0.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.1 = 0.1 $	$4.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.6 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.5 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3 \pm 0.3 $	$0.6 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.5 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3 \pm 0.3 $	0.4 ± 0.1 0.5 ± 0.1 $0.3 \pm$	0.5 ± 0.1 $0.3 \pm$	$0.3 \pm$	0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1
	6.4 ± 0.1 15.4 ± 4.3 1.8 ± 0.3 5.7 ± 0.7 0.8 ± 0.1 0.3 ± 0.1 0.9 ± 0.1 0.3	$15.4 \pm 4.3 \qquad 1.8 \pm 0.3 \qquad 5.7 \pm 0.7 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3$	$1.8 \pm 0.3 \qquad 5.7 \pm 0.7 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3$	5.7 ± 0.7 0.8 ± 0.1 0.3 ± 0.1 0.9 ± 0.1 0.3	$0.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3$	0.3 ± 0.1 0.9 ± 0.1 0.3	0.9 ± 0.1 0.3	0.3	1 ± 0.01	0.7 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.02	0.6 ± 0.02	0.3 ± 0.03	0.6 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.04
SF 6.3 ± 0.5 12.9 ± 0.8 1.6 ± 0.1 4.7 ± 0.3 0.9 ± 0.1 0.4 ± 0.03 0.8 ± 0.1 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$12.9 \pm 0.8 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.7 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.03 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.03 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0$	$1.6 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.7 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.03 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.$	$4.7 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.03 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0$	0.9 ± 0.1 0.4 ± 0.03 0.8 ± 0.1 (0)	0.4 ± 0.03 0.8 ± 0.1 (0.8 ± 0.1 (0	3.3 ± 0.02	0.8 ± 0.04	0.3 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.02	0.2 ± 0.01	0.5 ± 0.04	0.2 ± 0.01
$1 \text{TOF} 11.0 \pm 0.7 29.5 \pm 1.7 2.7 \pm 0.2 8.6 \pm 0.4 3.1 \pm 0.2 7.6 \pm 0.2 14.5 \pm 1.0 3.1 \pm 0.2 7.6 \pm 0.2 14.5 \pm 1.0 $	F 11.0 ± 0.7 29.5 ± 1.7 2.7 ± 0.2 8.6 ± 0.4 3.1 ± 0.2 7.6 ± 0.2 14.5 ± 1.0	$29.5 \pm 1.7 \qquad 2.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 8.6 \pm 0.4 \qquad 3.1 \pm 0.2 \qquad 7.6 \pm 0.2 \qquad 14.5 \pm 1.0$	$2.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 8.6 \pm 0.4 \qquad 3.1 \pm 0.2 \qquad 7.6 \pm 0.2 \qquad 14.5 \pm 1.0$	$8.6 \pm 0.4 \qquad 3.1 \pm 0.2 \qquad 7.6 \pm 0.2 \qquad 14.5 \pm 1.0$	3.1 ± 0.2 7.6 ± 0.2 14.5 ± 1.0	7.6 ± 0.2 14.5 ± 1.0	14.5 ± 1.0		1.6 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1
$ Q 10.2 \pm 0.1 20.5 \pm 5.8 2.6 \pm 0.4 8.2 \pm 0.9 1.7 \pm 0.2 0.9 \pm 0.2 1.6 \pm 0.2$	$10.2 \pm 0.1 \qquad 20.5 \pm 5.8 \qquad 2.6 \pm 0.4 \qquad 8.2 \pm 0.9 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2$	$20.5 \pm 5.8 \qquad 2.6 \pm 0.4 \qquad 8.2 \pm 0.9 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2$	$2.6 \pm 0.4 \qquad 8.2 \pm 0.9 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2$	$8.2 \pm 0.9 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2$	$1.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2$	0.9 ± 0.2 1.6 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.2		0.5 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.03	0.8 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.03	0.9 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.04
SF 8.9 ± 0.6 2.3 ± 0.2 6.3 ± 0.5 1.8 ± 0.1 0.7 ± 0.1 1.5 ± 0.1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$2.3 \pm 0.2 \qquad 6.3 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.5 \pm 0.1$	$2.3 \pm 0.2 \qquad 6.3 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.5 \pm 0.1$	$6.3 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.5 \pm 0.1$	0.7 ± 0.1 1.5 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.1		0.5 ± 0.03	1.3 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.03	0.8 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.02	0.8 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.02
	F 9.5 ± 0.05 27.7 ± 0.1 2.1 ± 0.03 7.6 ± 0.2 1.3 ± 0.1 0.4 ± 0.1 1.2 ± 0.1	27.7 ± 0.1 2.1 ± 0.03 7.6 ± 0.2 1.3 ± 0.1 0.4 ± 0.1 1.2 ± 0.1	$2.1 \pm 0.03 \qquad 7.6 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.3 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.2 \pm 0.1$	7.6 ± 0.2 1.3 ± 0.1 0.4 ± 0.1 1.2 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.1 0.4 ± 0.1 1.2 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1 1.2 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.1		0.1 ± 0.02	1.1 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.04	0.8 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.03	0.9 ± 0.03	0.1 ± 0.04
Q 15.3 ± 2.7 35.9 ± 10.5 5.9 ± 3.1 14.1 ± 3.5 5.3 ± 3.3 3.7 ± 3.4 4.7 ± 2.7	15.3 ± 2.7 35.9 ± 10.5 5.9 ± 3.1 14.1 ± 3.5 5.3 ± 3.3 3.7 ± 3.4 4.7 ± 2.7	35.9 ± 10.5 5.9 ± 3.1 14.1 ± 3.5 5.3 ± 3.3 3.7 ± 3.4 4.7 ± 2.7	5.9 ± 3.1 14.1 ± 3.5 5.3 ± 3.3 3.7 ± 3.4 4.7 ± 2.7	$14.1 \pm 3.5 \qquad 5.3 \pm 3.3 \qquad 3.7 \pm 3.4 \qquad 4.7 \pm 2.7$	5.3 ± 3.3 3.7 ± 3.4 4.7 ± 2.7	3.7 ± 3.4 4.7 ± 2.7	4.7 ± 2.7		2.9 ± 2.6	4.4 ± 2.5	2.9 ± 2.5	3.1 ± 2.1	2.7 ± 2.5	3.6 ± 2.5	2.7 ± 2.5
SF 11.5 \pm 0.8 27.3 \pm 1.9 2.7 \pm 0.2 8.8 \pm 0.7 1.9 \pm 0.1 0.3 \pm 0.03 1.7 \pm 0.1		27.3 ± 1.9 2.7 ± 0.2 8.8 ± 0.7 1.9 ± 0.1 0.3 ± 0.03 1.7 ± 0.1	$2.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 8.8 \pm 0.7 \qquad 1.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3 \pm 0.03 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.1$	$8.8 \pm 0.7 \qquad 1.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.3 \pm 0.03 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.1$	1.9 ± 0.1 0.3 ± 0.03 1.7 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.03 1.7 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1		0.2 ± 0.02	1.6 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.03	1.0 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.01	1.0 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.01
7 TOF $24,0\pm0.7$ 34.9 ± 1.1 22.3 ± 1.2 24.5 ± 1.2 20.6 ± 1.1 19.3 ± 1.1 18.5 ± 1.2	F 24.0 ± 0.7 34.9 ± 1.1 22.3 ± 1.2 24.5 ± 1.2 20.6 ± 1.1 19.3 ± 1.1 18.5 ± 1.2	34.9 ± 1.1 22.3 ± 1.2 24.5 ± 1.2 20.6 ± 1.1 19.3 ± 1.1 18.5 ± 1.2	22.3 ± 1.2 24.5 ± 1.2 20.6 ± 1.1 19.3 ± 1.1 18.5 ± 1.2	24.5 ± 1.2 20.6 ± 1.1 19.3 ± 1.1 18.5 ± 1.2	20.6 ± 1.1 19.3 ± 1.1 18.5 ± 1.2	19.3 ± 1.1 18.5 ± 1.2	18.5 ± 1.2		18.1 ± 0.8	18.9 ± 0.9	21.0 ± 0.6	31.1 ± 3.2	20.3 ± 1.7	18.6 ± 1.1	17.3 ± 1.1
Q 27.0 ± 2.5 36.0 ± 11.1 25.9 ± 3.8 34.3 ± 3.9 28.5 ± 2.9 25.8 ± 5.0 24.2 ± 2.8	$27.0 \pm 2.5 \qquad 36.0 \pm 11.1 \qquad 25.9 \pm 3.8 \qquad 34.3 \pm 3.9 \qquad 28.5 \pm 2.9 \qquad 25.8 \pm 5.0 \qquad 24.2 \pm 2.8 \qquad 24$	36.0 ± 11.1 25.9 ± 3.8 34.3 ± 3.9 28.5 ± 2.9 25.8 ± 5.0 24.2 ± 2.8	$25.9 \pm 3.8 \qquad 34.3 \pm 3.9 \qquad 28.5 \pm 2.9 \qquad 25.8 \pm 5.0 \qquad 24.2 \pm 2.8$	34.3 ± 3.9 28.5 ± 2.9 25.8 ± 5.0 24.2 ± 2.8	$28.5 \pm 2.9 \qquad 25.8 \pm 5.0 \qquad 24.2 \pm 2.8$	25.8 ± 5.0 24.2 ± 2.8	24.2 ± 2.8		22.7 ± 1.3	25.7 ± 1.3	22.6 ± 1.6	23.6 ± 1.7	22.0 ± 2.3	27.4 ± 3.6	22.5 ± 3.5
SF 27.1 ± 1.9 33.9 ± 2.7 22.3 ± 1.4 25.8 ± 1.7 21.6 ± 1.5 21.3 ± 1.5 21.0 ± 1.6	2 27.1 ± 1.9 33.9 ± 2.7 22.3 ± 1.4 25.8 ± 1.7 21.6 ± 1.5 21.3 ± 1.5 21.0 ± 1.6	33.9 ± 2.7 22.3 ± 1.4 25.8 ± 1.7 21.6 ± 1.5 21.3 ± 1.5 21.0 ± 1.6	22.3 ± 1.4 25.8 ± 1.7 21.6 ± 1.5 21.3 ± 1.5 21.0 ± 1.6	25.8 ± 1.7 21.6 ± 1.5 21.3 ± 1.5 21.0 ± 1.6	21.6 ± 1.5 21.3 ± 1.5 21.0 ± 1.6	21.3 ± 1.5 21.0 ± 1.6	21.0 ± 1.6		20.3 ± 1.5	19.9 ± 1.2	19.2 ± 1.4	19.8 ± 0.8	18.6 ± 1.3	19.3 ± 1.1	19.1 ± 1.2
	$F 9.5 \pm 0.2 18.0 \pm 0.3 2.4 \pm 0.1 7.4 \pm 0.3 2.2 \pm 0.2 1.0 \pm 0.2 1.4 \pm 0.1 1.4 1.4 \pm 0.1 1.4 \pm 0.1 1.4 \pm 0.1 1.4 \pm 0.1 1.4 \pm$	$18.0 \pm 0.3 \qquad 2.4 \pm 0.1 \qquad 7.4 \pm 0.3 \qquad 2.2 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.0 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.4 \pm 0.1$	$2.4 \pm 0.1 \qquad 7.4 \pm 0.3 \qquad 2.2 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.0 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.4 \pm 0.1$	7.4 ± 0.3 2.2 ± 0.2 1.0 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.1	$2.2 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.0 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.4 \pm 0.1$	1.0 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1		0.3 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1
$ Q 7.0 \pm 0.1 17.5 \pm 4.9 2.0 \pm 0.3 8.1 \pm 0.9 1.7 \pm 0.2 0.5 \pm 0.1 1.6 \pm 0.2 $	$7.0 \pm 0.1 \qquad 17.5 \pm 4.9 \qquad 2.0 \pm 0.3 \qquad 8.1 \pm 0.9 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.5 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2$	$17.5 \pm 4.9 \qquad 2.0 \pm 0.3 \qquad 8.1 \pm 0.9 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.5 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2$	$2.0 \pm 0.3 \qquad 8.1 \pm 0.9 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.5 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2$	$8.1 \pm 0.9 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.5 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2$	$1.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.5 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2$	0.5 ± 0.1 1.6 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.2		0.3 ± 0.03	1.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.04	1.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.03	0.8 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.04
SF 6.5 ± 0.5 13.6 ± 1.1 1.8 ± 0.1 6.4 ± 0.4 1.7 ± 0.1 0.4 ± 0.04 1.5 ± 0.1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$13.6 \pm 1.1 \qquad 1.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 6.4 \pm 0.4 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.04 \qquad 1.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 6.4 \pm 0.4 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.04 \qquad 1.5 \pm 0.1$	$6.4 \pm 0.4 \qquad 1.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.4 \pm 0.04 \qquad 1.5 \pm 0.1$	1.7 ± 0.1 0.4 ± 0.04 1.5 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.04 1.5 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.1		0.2 ± 0.02	1.3 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.02	0.8 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.01	0.7 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.01
1 TOF 18.3 ± 0.2 27.3 ± 0.2 3.1 ± 0.0 9.7 ± 0.2 2.7 ± 0.1 0.9 ± 0.1 2.0 ± 0.1	F 18.3 ± 0.2 27.3 ± 0.2 3.1 ± 0.0 9.7 ± 0.2 2.7 ± 0.1 0.9 ± 0.1 2.0 ± 0.1	27.3 ± 0.2 3.1 ± 0.0 9.7 ± 0.2 2.7 ± 0.1 0.9 ± 0.1 2.0 ± 0.1	$3.1 \pm 0.0 \qquad 9.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 2.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.0 \pm 0.1$	9.7 ± 0.2 2.7 ± 0.1 0.9 ± 0.1 2.0 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.1 0.9 ± 0.1 2.0 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1 2.0 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.1		0.4 ± 0.04	1.7 ± 0.03	0.4 ± 0.04	1.0 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.04	1.2 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.04
$ Q 9.0 \pm 1.4 26.4 \pm 8.1 5.6 \pm 2.2 13.8 \pm 2.0 3.0 \pm 0.5 1.5 \pm 0.6 3.3 \pm 1.2 1.5 \pm 0.6 3.5 3.5 \pm 0.6 3.5$	$9.0 \pm 1.4 \qquad 26.4 \pm 8.1 \qquad 5.6 \pm 2.2 \qquad 13.8 \pm 2.0 \qquad 3.0 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1.5 \pm 0.6 \qquad 3.3 \pm 1.2$	$26.4 \pm 8.1 \qquad 5.6 \pm 2.2 \qquad 13.8 \pm 2.0 \qquad 3.0 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1.5 \pm 0.6 \qquad 3.3 \pm 1.2$	$5.6 \pm 2.2 \qquad 13.8 \pm 2.0 \qquad 3.0 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1.5 \pm 0.6 \qquad 3.3 \pm 1.2$	$13.8 \pm 2.0 \qquad 3.0 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1.5 \pm 0.6 \qquad 3.3 \pm 1.2$	3.0 ± 0.5 1.5 ± 0.6 3.3 ± 1.2	1.5 ± 0.6 3.3 ± 1.2	3.3 ± 1.2		0.5 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.03	1.6 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.03	1.4 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.05
SF 12.8 ± 1.1 27.2 ± 2.6 3.7 ± 0.2 12.6 ± 0.9 3.1 ± 0.3 0.8 ± 0.1 2.7 ± 0.2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$27.2 \pm 2.6 \qquad 3.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 12.6 \pm 0.9 \qquad 3.1 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.7 \pm 0.2$	$3.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 12.6 \pm 0.9 \qquad 3.1 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.7 \pm 0.2$	$12.6 \pm 0.9 \qquad 3.1 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.7 \pm 0.2$	3.1 ± 0.3 0.8 ± 0.1 2.7 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.1 2.7 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.2		0.5 ± 0.04	2.4 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.04	1.4 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.02	1.4 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.02
$1 \text{TOF} 22.9 \pm 2.7 48.5 \pm 3.5 5.2 \pm 0.1 17.9 \pm 0.6 5.0 \pm 0.1 1.6 \pm 0.2 3.2 \pm 0.2 $	$F 22.9 \pm 2.7 48.5 \pm 3.5 5.2 \pm 0.1 17.9 \pm 0.6 5.0 \pm 0.1 1.6 \pm 0.2 3.2 \pm 0.2$	$48.5 \pm 3.5 \qquad 5.2 \pm 0.1 \qquad 17.9 \pm 0.6 \qquad 5.0 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2 \qquad 3.2 \pm 0.2$	$5.2 \pm 0.1 \qquad 17.9 \pm 0.6 \qquad 5.0 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2 \qquad 3.2 \pm 0.2$	$17.9 \pm 0.6 \qquad 5.0 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.6 \pm 0.2 \qquad 3.2 \pm 0.2$	5.0 ± 0.1 1.6 ± 0.2 3.2 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.2 3.2 ± 0.2	3.2 ± 0.2		0.7 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	2.2 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1
$ Q 16.6 \pm 0.3 41.3 \pm 11.6 4.8 \pm 0.7 18.3 \pm 2.1 3.9 \pm 0.4 1.1 \pm 0.2 3.6 \pm 0.4 1.1 \pm 0.2 1.1 \pm 0$	$16.6 \pm 0.3 \qquad 41.3 \pm 11.6 \qquad 4.8 \pm 0.7 \qquad 18.3 \pm 2.1 \qquad 3.9 \pm 0.4 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.2 \qquad 3.6 \pm 0.4$	$41.3 \pm 11.6 \qquad 4.8 \pm 0.7 \qquad 18.3 \pm 2.1 \qquad 3.9 \pm 0.4 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.2 \qquad 3.6 \pm 0.4$	$4.8 \pm 0.7 \qquad 18.3 \pm 2.1 \qquad 3.9 \pm 0.4 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.2 \qquad 3.6 \pm 0.4$	$18.3 \pm 2.1 \qquad 3.9 \pm 0.4 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.2 \qquad 3.6 \pm 0.4$	3.9 ± 0.4 1.1 ± 0.2 3.6 ± 0.4	1.1 ± 0.2 3.6 ± 0.4	3.6 ± 0.4		0.6 ± 0.04	3.7 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.04	2.1 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.04	1.9 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.05
SF 18.9 ± 1.3 37.4 ± 2.6 5.1 ± 0.4 17.3 ± 1.3 4.5 ± 0.3 1.1 ± 0.1 4.4 ± 0.3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37.4 ± 2.6 5.1 ± 0.4 17.3 ± 1.3 4.5 ± 0.3 1.1 ± 0.1 4.4 ± 0.3	$5.1 \pm 0.4 \qquad 17.3 \pm 1.3 \qquad 4.5 \pm 0.3 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.4 \pm 0.3$	17.3 ± 1.3 4.5 ± 0.3 1.1 ± 0.1 4.4 ± 0.3	$4.5 \pm 0.3 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.4 \pm 0.3$	1.1 ± 0.1 4.4 ± 0.3	4.4 ± 0.3		0.7 ± 0.1	4.1 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.1	2.4 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.02	2.2 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.02
5 TOF 22.7 ± 0.1 46.2 ± 0.2 5.5 ± 0.1 17.3 ± 0.2 4.0 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.1 3.1 ± 0.2	F 22.7 ± 0.1 46.2 ± 0.2 5.5 ± 0.1 17.3 ± 0.2 4.0 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.1 3.1 ± 0.2	$46.2 \pm 0.2 \qquad 5.5 \pm 0.1 \qquad 17.3 \pm 0.2 \qquad 4.0 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 3.1 \pm 0.2$	5.5 ± 0.1 17.3 ± 0.2 4.0 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.1 3.1 ± 0.2	17.3 ± 0.2 4.0 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.1 3.1 ± 0.2	$4.0 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 3.1 \pm 0.2$	1.1 ± 0.1 3.1 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 0.2		0.6 ± 0.1	2.9 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.04	1.8 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.1
$ Q 18.9 \pm 0.4 48.5 \pm 13.6 5.4 \pm 0.8 21.4 \pm 2.4 4.2 \pm 0.4 0.9 \pm 0.2 3.4 \pm 0.3 1.4 \pm 0.4 0.4 \pm 0.2 0.4 \pm 0.3 0.4 \pm 0.4 0.4 0.4 \pm 0.4 0$	$18.9 \pm 0.4 48.5 \pm 13.6 5.4 \pm 0.8 21.4 \pm 2.4 4.2 \pm 0.4 0.9 \pm 0.2 3.4 \pm 0.3$	$48.5 \pm 13.6 \qquad 5.4 \pm 0.8 \qquad 21.4 \pm 2.4 \qquad 4.2 \pm 0.4 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.2 \qquad 3.4 \pm 0.3$	5.4 ± 0.8 21.4 ± 2.4 4.2 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.3	$21.4 \pm 2.4 \qquad 4.2 \pm 0.4 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.2 \qquad 3.4 \pm 0.3$	$4.2 \pm 0.4 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.2 \qquad 3.4 \pm 0.3$	0.9 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.3	3.4 ± 0.3		0.5 ± 0.05	3.4 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.04	1.9 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.03	1.9 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.1
SF 21.3 ± 1.5 43.0 ± 3.3 5.7 ± 0.4 20.0 ± 1.6 4.8 ± 0.3 1.2 ± 0.1 4.1 ± 0.4	2 21.3 ± 1.5 43.0 ± 3.3 5.7 ± 0.4 20.0 ± 1.6 4.8 ± 0.3 1.2 ± 0.1 4.1 ± 0.4	$43.0 \pm 3.3 \qquad 5.7 \pm 0.4 \qquad 20.0 \pm 1.6 \qquad 4.8 \pm 0.3 \qquad 1.2 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.1 \pm 0.4$	5.7 ± 0.4 20.0 ± 1.6 4.8 ± 0.3 1.2 ± 0.1 4.1 ± 0.4	$20.0 \pm 1.6 \qquad 4.8 \pm 0.3 \qquad 1.2 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.1 \pm 0.4$	$4.8 \pm 0.3 \qquad 1.2 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.1 \pm 0.4$	1.2 ± 0.1 4.1 ± 0.4	4.1 ± 0.4		0.7 ± 0.05	3.8 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.04	2.1 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.02	2.0 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.02
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	F 25.7 ± 0.2 50.2 ± 0.6 5.3 ± 0.1 17.4 ± 0.4 3.8 ± 0.1 1.1 ± 0.1 2.7 ± 0.2	$50.2 \pm 0.6 \qquad 5.3 \pm 0.1 \qquad 17.4 \pm 0.4 \qquad 3.8 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.7 \pm 0.2$	5.3 ± 0.1 17.4 ± 0.4 3.8 ± 0.1 1.1 ± 0.1 2.7 ± 0.2	17.4 ± 0.4 3.8 ± 0.1 1.1 ± 0.1 2.7 ± 0.2	3.8 ± 0.1 1.1 ± 0.1 2.7 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.1 2.7 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.2		0.4 ± 0.05	2.4 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.04	1.3 ± 0.04	0.2 ± 0.03	1.4 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.04
	$16.9 \pm 1.0 \qquad 44.5 \pm 12.7 \qquad 4.8 \pm 0.7 \qquad 18.9 \pm 2.2 \qquad 4.0 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.3 \qquad 3.7 \pm 0.5$	$44.5 \pm 12.7 \qquad 4.8 \pm 0.7 \qquad 18.9 \pm 2.2 \qquad 4.0 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.3 \qquad 3.7 \pm 0.5$	4.8 ± 0.7 18.9 ± 2.2 4.0 ± 0.5 1.1 ± 0.3 3.7 ± 0.5	$18.9 \pm 2.2 \qquad 4.0 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.3 \qquad 3.7 \pm 0.5$	$4.0 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.3 \qquad 3.7 \pm 0.5$	1.1 ± 0.3 3.7 ± 0.5	3.7 ± 0.5		0.5 ± 0.05	2.9 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.03	1.9 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.03	1.8 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.04
SF 22.1 ± 1.5 46.5 ± 3.2 6.1 ± 0.4 20.3 ± 1.4 5.0 ± 0.4 1.1 ± 0.1 4.3 ± 0.3	$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	$46.5 \pm 3.2 \qquad 6.1 \pm 0.4 \qquad 20.3 \pm 1.4 \qquad 5.0 \pm 0.4 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.3 \pm 0.3$	$6.1 \pm 0.4 \qquad 20.3 \pm 1.4 \qquad 5.0 \pm 0.4 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.3 \pm 0.3$	$20.3 \pm 1.4 \qquad 5.0 \pm 0.4 \qquad 1.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 4.3 \pm 0.3$	5.0 ± 0.4 1.1 ± 0.1 4.3 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.1 4.3 ± 0.3	4.3 ± 0.3		0.7 ± 0.05	3.7 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.1	2.2 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.02	2.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.02
5 TOF 17.1 ± 0.2 38.0 ± 0.3 4.1 ± 0.1 13.3 ± 0.4 3.4 ± 0.1 1.0 ± 0.1 2.4 ± 0.2	$F 17.1 \pm 0.2 38.0 \pm 0.3 4.1 \pm 0.1 13.3 \pm 0.4 3.4 \pm 0.1 1.0 \pm 0.1 2.4 \pm 0.2 1.0 \pm 0.1 1.0 1.0 \pm 0.1 1.0$	$38.0 \pm 0.3 \qquad 4.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 13.3 \pm 0.4 \qquad 3.4 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.0 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.4 \pm 0.2$	$4.1 \pm 0.1 \qquad 13.3 \pm 0.4 \qquad 3.4 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.0 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.4 \pm 0.2$	$13.3 \pm 0.4 \qquad 3.4 \pm 0.1 \qquad 1.0 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.4 \pm 0.2$	3.4 ± 0.1 1.0 ± 0.1 2.4 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.1 2.4 ± 0.2	2.4 ± 0.2		0.3 ± 0.03	2.2 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.04	1.3 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.04	1.3 ± 0.04	0.2 ± 0.04
$ Q 13.5 \pm 0.2 37.4 \pm 10.5 3.9 \pm 0.6 15.4 \pm 1.7 2.9 \pm 0.3 0.7 \pm 0.1 2.6 \pm 0.2 \\ $	$13.5 \pm 0.2 \qquad 37.4 \pm 10.5 \qquad 3.9 \pm 0.6 \qquad 15.4 \pm 1.7 \qquad 2.9 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.6 \pm 0.2 \qquad $	37.4 ± 10.5 3.9 ± 0.6 15.4 ± 1.7 2.9 ± 0.3 0.7 ± 0.1 2.6 ± 0.2	$3.9 \pm 0.6 \qquad 15.4 \pm 1.7 \qquad 2.9 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.6 \pm 0.2$	$15.4 \pm 1.7 \qquad 2.9 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.6 \pm 0.2$	$2.9 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0.7 \pm 0.1 \qquad 2.6 \pm 0.2$	0.7 ± 0.1 2.6 ± 0.2	2.6 ± 0.2		0.4 ± 0.02	2.6 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.03	1.4 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.02	1.5 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.03
SF 16.0 ± 0.9 33.9 ± 2.0 4.5 ± 0.2 15.2 ± 0.9 3.7 ± 0.2 0.9 ± 0.1 3.2 ± 0.2		$33.9 \pm 2.0 \qquad 4.5 \pm 0.2 \qquad 15.2 \pm 0.9 \qquad 3.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 3.2 \pm 0.2$	$4.5 \pm 0.2 \qquad 15.2 \pm 0.9 \qquad 3.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 3.2 \pm 0.2$	$15.2 \pm 0.9 \qquad 3.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0.9 \pm 0.1 \qquad 3.2 \pm 0.2$	3.7 ± 0.2 0.9 ± 0.1 3.2 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1 3.2 ± 0.2	3.2 ± 0.2		0.5 ± 0.03	2.9 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.04	1.7 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.01	1.6 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.01

* top of the ice core bag

20

 Table S 3: continued